The Open Orthopaedics Journal, 2016, 10, 505-511



## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# **Effects of Modification of Pain Protocol on Incidence of Post Operative Nausea and Vomiting**

Ran Schwarzkopf<sup>1,\*</sup>, Nimrod Snir<sup>2</sup>, Zachary T. Sharfman<sup>2</sup>, Joseph B. Rinehart<sup>3</sup>, Michael-David Calderon<sup>3</sup>, Esther Bahn<sup>3</sup>, Brian Harrington<sup>3</sup> and Kyle Ahn<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Adult Reconstruction, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, NYU Langone Medical Center Hospital for Joint Diseases, NY, New York, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Sorasky Medical Center, Tel-Aviv, Israel

<sup>3</sup>Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Care, University of California, Irvine Medical Center, Orange, California, USA

Received: July 25, 2016	Revised: September 29, 2016	Accepted: October 05, 2016

## Abstract:

## Background:

A Perioperative Surgical Home (PSH) care model applies a standardized multidisciplinary approach to patient care using evidencebased medicine to modify and improve protocols. Analysis of patient outcome measures, such as postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV), allows for refinement of existing protocols to improve patient care. We aim to compare the incidence of PONV in patients who underwent primary total joint arthroplasty before and after modification of our PSH pain protocol.

## Methods:

All total joint replacement PSH (TJR-PSH) patients who underwent primary THA (n=149) or TKA (n=212) in the study period were included. The modified protocol added a single dose of intravenous (IV) ketorolac given in the operating room and oxycodone immediate release orally instead of IV Hydromorphone in the Post Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU). The outcomes were (1) incidence of PONV and (2) average pain score in the PACU. We also examined the effect of primary anesthetic (spinal *vs.* GA) on these outcomes. The groups were compared using chi-square tests of proportions.

## Results:

The incidence of post-operative nausea in the PACU decreased significantly with the modified protocol (27.4% vs. 38.1%, p=0.0442). There was no difference in PONV based on choice of anesthetic or procedure. Average PACU pain scores did not differ significantly between the two protocols.

## Conclusion:

Simple modifications to TJR-PSH multimodal pain management protocol, with decrease in IV narcotic use, resulted in a lower incidence of postoperative nausea, without compromising average PACU pain scores. This report demonstrates the need for continuous monitoring of PSH pathways and implementation of revisions as needed.

Keywords: Multimodal pain management, Narcotics, Opioids, Perioperative surgical home, Postoperative nausea and vomiting, Total joint replacement.

505

<sup>\*</sup> Address correspondence to this author at the Division of Adult Reconstruction, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, NYU Langone Medical Center Hospital for Joint Diseases, NYU Langon Medical Center, 310 East 17<sup>th</sup> Street, New York, NY, 10003, USA; Tel: +212-598-6000; E-mail: schwarzk@gmail.com

## INTRODUCTION

Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) is a common and disturbing problem for patients. In the first 24 hours after surgery the rates of nausea and vomiting are approximately 52% and 25% respectively [1]. Furthermore the risk of nausea after joint replacement surgery is 61% with 42% vomiting, as found by Koivuranta *et al.* [1]. Many risk factors predisposing to PONV in adults have been studied and in the high risk population, 79% of these patients may experience PONV [2]. Risk factors for PONV include: female sex, non-smokers, younger age, general anesthesia, volatile anesthetics and nitrous oxide, and duration of anesthetic [2, 3]. Postoperative opioids were also found as an individual risk factor for PONV [2, 3].

In addition to the high incidence of PONV and the distress it causes to patients, PONV is associated with economic implications for overall health care costs. Patients with unresolved PONV may require extended stays in the postoperative anesthesia care unit (PACU), increased total length of stay [4] and increased burden on the health care system [5, 6]. By reducing PONV, patients are able to mobilize earlier and facilitate an early active rehabilitation protocol [7]. Enacting measures to reduce PONV was shown to significantly reduce associated health care costs while improving quality of care for the patients [4, 7, 8]. Recently, the use of peri-articular local anesthetic (PAI) blocks in total joint arthroplasty has increased. PAI techniques are simple to perform, and are associated with lower incidence of PONV outcomes when compared to general anesthetic [8] or postoperative epidural analgesia alone [9].

Using a Perioperative Surgical Home (PSH) care model, patient care is standardized throughout the perioperative course. This study is aimed to compare the incidence of PONV in patients who underwent primary total knee arthroplasty (TKA) and total hip arthroplasty (THA) before and after modification of our PSH based multimodal pain protocol. We hypothesized that substituting IV opioid with other medications in the PACU would lead to a decrease in PONV without an increase in reported pain scores.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The institutional review board approved this study. We conducted a retrospective chart review of prospectively gathered data. All primary Total Joint Replacement (THA and TKA) patients between October 2012 and December 2014 were included. A total of 149 primary total hip arthroplasty (THA) procedures and 212 primary total knee arthroplasty (TKA) procedures were included.

A standard multimodal pain protocol was studied from October 2012 to April 2014 and is presented in Fig. (1). Subsequently, the modified multimodal pain protocol was implemented in May 2014 with the purpose of reducing the incidence of PONV in this population (Fig. 1). The changes in the protocol included an additional single dose of IV ketorolac (15mg) given at the end of surgery in the Operating Room (OR) and postoperative oxycodone (immediate release 5-10mg <u>orally</u>) as needed for pain control instead of IV hydromorphone in the Postoperative Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU) (Fig. 1).

The primary outcome was incidence of post-operative nausea and vomiting in the PACU and was measured by the PACU nurse in hourly intervals as long as the patients were treated in the PACU. Secondary outcomes were post-operative average pain scores in the PACU, which were measured with a 10cm Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for pain recorded by the PACU nurse at the same time intervals as PONV assessment. Additionally, this study examined the effect of primary anesthetic modality (spinal *vs.* general anesthesia) on PONV and VAS pain score outcomes.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Scalar variables are reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and were compared using t-test. Categorical variables were compared using  $\chi 2$ . Significance level was set at 0.05 and confidence intervals (CI) are reported at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile. IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS<sup>®</sup> 21 for windows was used for all analyses (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

## RESULTS

There were no significant differences between the study groups regarding age, BMI gender or ASA classification (Table 1). The incidence of nausea in the PACU decreased significantly in the patients who received the modified protocol (27.4%) compared to the original protocol cohort (38.1%), (p=0.0442, CI of difference -20.8% to -0.6%). The rate of vomiting was 15.4% under the original protocol, and 14.3% under the modified protocol, a non-statistically significant difference (p=0.371). There was no difference in the rates of nausea or vomiting based on choice of anesthetic (spinal *vs.* general, p=0.67) or arthroplasty procedure performed (TKA *vs.* THA p=0.76). Fig. (2) shows

nausea rates in each procedure group before and after the protocol change. Average PACU pain scores were lower with the modified protocol when compared to the original protocol but the difference was not statistically significant (1.7  $\pm 2.6$  and 2.0  $\pm 2.4$  respectively p=0.15) (Fig 3).

October 2012 - April 2014	May 2014 - December 2014	
Preoperative holding area	Preoperative holding area	
Acetaminophen 1000 mg orally NOW	Acetaminophen 1000 mg orally NOW	
Oxycodone sustained release 10 or 20 mg orally NOW	Oxycodone sustained release 10 or 20 mg orally NOW	
Gabapentin 300 or 600 mg orally NOW	Gabapentin 300 or 600 mg orally NOW	
Celecoxib 200 or 400 mg orally NOW	Celecoxib 200 or 400 mg orally NOW	
Etodolac 500 mg orally NOW instead of Celecoxib,	Etodolac 500 mg orally NOW instead of	
if history of serious allergy or intolerance to "sulfa drug,"	Celecoxib, if history of serious allergy or intolerance to "sulfa drug,"	
Intraoperative	Intraoperative	
Spinal anesthesia 1.4-1.6 mg 0.75% bupivacaine + fentanyl 20 mcg	Spinal anesthesia 1.4-1.6 mg 0.75% bupivacaine + fentanyl 20 mcg	
Periarticular injection (in divided doses)	Periarticular injection	
Epinephrine 1mg/mL; 0.5 mL	Epinephrine 1mg/mL; 0.5 mL	
Ketorolac 30 mg/mL; 1 mL	Ketorolac 30 mg/mL; 1 mL	
Clonidine 100 mcg/mL; 0.8 mL	Clonidine 100 mcg/mL; 0.8 mL	
Ropivacaine 5 mg/mL; 49.25 mL	Ropivacaine 5 mg/mL; 49.25 mL	
Sodium chloride 0.9%; 48.45 mL	Sodium chloride 0.9%; 48.45 mL	
	Ketorolac 15 mg IV x 1 at end of surgery	
PACU	PACU	
Hydromorphone IV as needed for pain	Oxycodone immediate release 5-10 mg orally as needed for pain x 1	
Postoperative	Postoperative	
Acetaminophen 1000 mg orally, every 8 h, start 8 h from NOW dose	Acetaminophen 1000 mg orally, every 8 h, start 8 h from NOW dose	
Oxycodone sustained released 10 or 20 mg orally,	Oxycodone sustained released 10 or 20 mg	
every 12 h. Start 12 h from NOW dose	orally, every 12 h. Start 12 h from NOW dose	
Gabapentin 300 mg orally, every night	Gabapentin 300 mg orally, every night	
Tramadol 50 mg orally every 6 h PRN - mild pain	Tramadol 50 mg orally every 6 h PRN - mild pain	
Oxycodone immediate release 5-10-15 mg (mild- moderate-severe pain)	Oxycodone immediate release 5-10-15 mg (mild- moderate-severe pain)	
Ketorolac 7.5 mg IV q6 h x 2 doses, start 6 h after surgery	Ketorolac 7.5 mg IV q6 h x 2 doses, start 6 h after surgery	
Hydromorphone 0.2-0.4 mg IV q2 h PRN breakthrough pain	Hydromorphone 0.2-0.4 mg IV q2 h PRN breakthrough pain	

Fig. (1). Total joint replacement perioperative surgical home multimodel pain management protocol.



Solid lines show proportion of each group experiencing nausea in the recovery room. Red rectangles show extent of 95% confidence interval on the proportion.

Fig. (2). Proportion of patients with nausea by group.





Fig. (3). Boxplots of PACU pain score before and after protocol change.



## **Recovery Room Opioid Dose by Group**

Fig. (4). Recovery room opioid dose by group.

Table 1. Patient demographics between	the pre-modification group (original)	, and the post-modification (modified) group.
		,

	Modified	Original	
	n=117	n=245	р
Age	$64.1 \pm 12.8$	$63.5 \pm 14.1$	0.69
BMI	29.2 ± 6.2	$29.6 \pm 6.4$	0.58
Gender (M)	45%	36%	0.11
ASA			
I	0%	0%	0.211
Π	27%	19%	
III	72%	75%	
IV	1%	5%	

Recovery room opioid use was classified as no use, low use (1 administration), moderate use (2-3 administrations) and high use (4+ administrations). The modified protocol group had a higher percent of patients who did not require any PACU opioids and fewer patients who used low, moderate and high dose opioids, this result did not reach significance (Fig 4).

## DISCUSSION

The primary outcome of this study reports decreased incidence of postoperative nausea without changes in PACU VAS pain scores. This effect was observed after simple modifications to our total joint replacement PSH multimodal pain management protocol. The modifications entailed adding 15mg IV Ketorolac at the end of surgery and replacing IV Hydromorphone in the PACU with oral immediate release Oxycodone (5-10mg).

IV narcotic use is known to be associated with increased PONV. The decreased postoperative nausea observed in this study may be partially due to replacing PACU hydromorphone with oxycodone. The benefits of decreased postoperative nausea must be weighted against a possible increase in postoperative patient reported pain scores. In this study there was no associated increase in postoperative VAS pain scores with a decrease in morphine consumption. Based on these results the TJR-PSH modified protocol proved superior to the original protocol.

PONV remains of concern after TJR as it may predispose to decreased mobility, aspiration, electrolyte imbalances, thromboembolic disease, emotional distress, discomfort, and longer hospital stays [10]. Many antiemetic interventions to prevent PONV were shown to be similarly effective [8]. However, it is preferable to avoid nausea and vomiting than to treat them after presentation. Thus, the safest and least expensive prophylaxis should be utilized first [8]. In our modified TJR-PSH protocol this principal is employed by removing a high risk drug (IV hydromorphone) in an attempt to utilize fewer narcotic medications while maintaining adequate postoperative pain control and reducing PONV.

Ketorolac is a non-selective COX inhibitor indicated for acute moderately severe pain management. By decreasing formation of prostaglandin precursors ketorolac is known to have an antipyretic, analgesic and anti-inflammatory effect. The recommended dose of ketorolac is 120 mg per day or less for 5 days or less [11]. Contraindications to use are the same as for other NSAIDs. NSAID drugs are often associated with both gastrointestinal bleeding and operative sight bleeding. However, Storm *et al.* [12] found that the overall associations between ketorolac use and gastrointestinal bleeding and operative site bleeding are small. Physicians should however limit the dose and length of ketorolac use in older subjects.

Many total joint arthroplasty protocols use Ketorolac postoperatively in the ward or as part of the peri-articular injection cocktail [13 - 16]. Parvtaneni *et al.* [13] used peri-articular injections with a multimodal protocol that employed postoperative ketorolac and found excellent pain control and functional recovery compared to conventional pain control modalities. Pagnano *et al.* [14] has used Ketorolac for breakthrough pain postoperatively for patients with VAS scores greater or equal to 4. The modified protocol used in this study takes advantage of the known benefits of ketorolac in the PAI cocktail and postoperative use. Additionally, the modified protocol also uses IV ketorolac at the end of surgery in the operating room and takes advantage of the postoperative analgesic and antipyretic properties of the drug [17, 18].

A limitation of this study includes the retrospective nature of the research. Furthermore, this protocol can only be used in patients without contraindications to ketorolac. The two protocols were not studied simultaneously and the modifications made to the original protocol were not made in a stepwise fashion. Therefore it was not possible to measure the individual effects of adding postoperative IV ketorolac or removing hydromorphone. Although the study is well powered to analyze the primary outcomes, the study is underpowered to study the possible complications of ketorolac, none of the patients in the study had any reported complications from ketorolac. However, the use of ketorolac is indicated in this population group and recommendations for dosing and length of use were not violated.

## CONCLUSION

This study reports how an additional single dose of IV ketorolac and postoperative oxycodone instead of IV hydromorphone in the PACU resulted in decreased incidence of postoperative nausea without worsening average PACU pain scores. This report demonstrates the need for continuous monitoring of PSH pathways and implementation of revisions as needed.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASA	=	Anesthesia score assessment
BMI	=	Body mass index
PACU	=	Post acute care unit
PONV	=	Post operative nausea and vomiting
PSH	=	Perioperative surgical home
TJR	=	Total joint replacement
VAS	=	Visual analog scale

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

RS- Smith & nephew, Intelijoint, Gauss Surgical.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

## REFERENCES

- Koivuranta M, Lärä E, Snåre L, Alahuhta S. A survey of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anaesthesia 1997; 52(5): 443-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2044.1997.117-az0113.x] [PMID: 9165963]
- [2] Apfel CC, Lärä E, Koivuranta M, Greim CA, Roewer N. A simplified risk score for predicting postoperative nausea and vomiting: conclusions from cross-validations between two centers. Anesthesiology 1999; 91(3): 693-700. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/0000542-199909000-00022] [PMID: 10485781]
- [3] Gan TJ, Diemunsch P, Habib AS, *et al.* Consensus guidelines for the management of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anesth Analg 2014; 118(1): 85-113.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1213/ANE.000000000000002] [PMID: 24356162]

- DiIorio TM, Sharkey PF, Hewitt AM, Parvizi J. Antiemesis after total joint arthroplasty: does a single preoperative dose of aprepitant reduce nausea and vomiting? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2010; 468(9): 2405-9.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1357-x] [PMID: 20419358]
- [5] Chen JJ, Frame DG, White TJ. Efficacy of ondansetron and prochlorperazine for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting after total hip replacement or total knee replacement procedures: a randomized, double-blind, comparative trial. Arch Intern Med 1998; 158(19): 2124-8.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinte.158.19.2124] [PMID: 9801179]

- [6] Hill RP, Lubarsky DA, Phillips-Bute B, *et al.* Cost-effectiveness of prophylactic antiemetic therapy with ondansetron, droperidol, or placebo. Anesthesiology 2000; 92(4): 958-67.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/0000542-200004000-00012] [PMID: 10754614]
- Sculco PK, Pagnano MW. Perioperative solutions for rapid recovery joint arthroplasty: get ahead and stay ahead. J Arthroplasty 2015; 30(4): 518-20.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2015.01.036] [PMID: 25680452]

- [8] Apfel CC, Korttila K, Abdalla M, *et al.* A factorial trial of six interventions for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting. N Engl J Med 2004; 350(24): 2441-51.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa032196] [PMID: 15190136]
- [9] Tsukada S, Wakui M, Hoshino A. Postoperative epidural analgesia compared with intraoperative periarticular injection for pain control following total knee arthroplasty under spinal anesthesia: a randomized controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2014; 96(17): 1433-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.M.01098] [PMID: 25187581]
- [10] Adam F, Chauvin M, Du Manoir B, Langlois M, Sessler DI, Fletcher D. Small-dose ketamine infusion improves postoperative analgesia and rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty. Anesth Analg 2005; 100(2): 475-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1213/01.ANE.0000142117.82241.DC] [PMID: 15673878]
- [11] Barr J, Fraser GL, Puntillo K, *et al.* Clinical practice guidelines for the management of pain, agitation, and delirium in adult patients in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2013; 41(1): 263-306. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182783b72] [PMID: 23269131]
- [12] Strom BL, Berlin JA, Kinman JL, et al. Parenteral ketorolac and risk of gastrointestinal and operative site bleeding. A postmarketing surveillance study. JAMA 1996; 275(5): 376-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.1996.03530290046036] [PMID: 8569017]
- [13] Parvataneni HK, Shah VP, Howard H, Cole N, Ranawat AS, Ranawat CS. Controlling pain after total hip and knee arthroplasty using a multimodal protocol with local periarticular injections: a prospective randomized study. J Arthroplasty 2007; 22(6)(Suppl. 2): 33-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2007.03.034] [PMID: 17823012]

- Pagnano MW, Hebl J, Horlocker T. Assuring a painless total hip arthroplasty: a multimodal approach emphasizing peripheral nerve blocks. J Arthroplasty 2006; 21(4)(Suppl. 1): 80-4.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2006.03.001] [PMID: 16781436]
- [15] Ali M, Pagnano MW, Horlocker T, Lennon RL. How i manage pain after total hip arthroplasty. Semin Arthroplasty 2008; 19(3): 231-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.sart.2008.08.003]
- [16] Vendittoli PA, Makinen P, Drolet P, et al. A multimodal analgesia protocol for total knee arthroplasty. A randomized, controlled study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2006; 88(2): 282-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.E.00173] [PMID: 16452738]
- [17] Koh JC, Lee J, Kim SY, Choi S, Han DW. Postoperative pain and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia-related adverse effects in young and elderly patients: A retrospective analysis of 10,575 patients. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015; 94(45): e2008. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000002008]
- [18] Thagaard KS, Jensen HH, Raeder J. Analgesic and antiemetic effect of ketorolac vs. betamethasone or dexamethasone after ambulatory surgery. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2007; 51(3): 271-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-6576.2006.01240.x] [PMID: 17257175]

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International Public License (CC BY-NC 4.0) (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode), which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

<sup>©</sup> Schwarzkopf et al.; Licensee Bentham Open